

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,575	06/15/2005	Francesco Gallo	IT020030	9451
24737 75	90 . 08/17/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			PHAM, TUAN	
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
		DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/538,575	GALLO, FRANCESCO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUAN A. PHAM	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time (iii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Ju</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7, and 8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/15/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 06/15/2005 has been considered by Examiner and made of record in the application file.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Please add the appropriate titles to the specification (e.g., Background of the Invention, Summary of the Invention, Brief Description of the Drawings, and Detailed Description, etc.).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,575

Art Unit: 2618

5. <u>Claims 1, and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u>

over Fujioka (Pub. No.: US 2002/0193073) in view of Lunsford et al. (U.S. Patent

No.: 6,614,350, hereinafter, "Lunsford").

Page 3

Regarding claim 1, Fujioka teaches a method of operating a first Bluetooth base station and at least one Bluetooth mobile terminal connected to the first Bluetooth base station (see figure 1, master terminal 1, slave terminal 2-11), wherein the first Bluetooth base station receives packets of data and broadcasts received packets of data (see 0044-0067]), and each of the at least one Bluetooth mobile terminal receives the broadcast packets of data (see 0044-0067]), and wherein each of the at least one Bluetooth mobile terminal can be selectively controlled to enter either of a Bluetooth park mode and a Bluetooth active mode (see 0044-0067]), the method characterized by comprising the following steps:

controlling each of the at least one Bluetooth mobile terminal to enter the Bluetooth park mode, and subsequently (see 0044-0067]), and

controlling each of the at least one Bluetooth mobile terminal in the Bluetooth park mode, by sending an unpark/park command to the Bluetooth mobile terminal to enter the Bluetooth active mode and to subsequently return to the Bluetooth park mode (see 0044-0067)).

It should be noticed that Fujioka fails to teach time intervals shorter than a Bluetooth link supervision timeout. However, Lunsford teaches such features (see col.1, ln.1-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Lunsford into view of Fujioka in order to maintain the connection between the master and slave.

Regarding claim 7, Fujioka further teaches the data are broadcast as streaming data (see [0035]).

Regarding claim 8, claim 8 is rejected the same reason of claim 1.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Fujioka (Pub. No.: US 2002/0193073) in view of Lunsford et al. (U.S. Patent No.:

6,614,350, hereinafter, "Lunsford") as applied to claim 1 above, and further in

view of Van Der Zee et al. (Pub. No.: US 2003/0235202, hereinafter, "Van Der

Zee").

Regarding claim 2, Fujioka and Lunsford, in combination, fails to teach the broadcast packets of data received by the first Bluetooth base station are buffered and transmitted with a broadcast data queuing delay, and if the broadcast data queuing delay exceeds a predefined maximum broadcast data queuing delay, broadcasting a buffered packet of data. However, Van Der Zee teaches such features (see [0059-0067]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Van Der Zee into view of Fujioka and Lunsford in order to maintain the connection between the master and slave.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,575 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In order to expedite the prosecution of this application, the applicants are also requested to consider the following references. Although Park (U.S. Pub. No.: US 2002/0111178), and Cho (Pub. No. US 2003/0045242) are not applied into this Office Action; they are also called to Applicants attention. They may be used in future Office Action(s).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is
 (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday,
 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/538,575

Art Unit: 2618

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2618 August 15, 2006

Examiner

Tuan Pham

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2600

Page 6

Matthew Anderson